OCT 23 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OLERK U.S. DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

Western District of Washington

BA

In the Matter of the Search of

(Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address)

Black Dell Latitude E5450 Laptop with Service Tag Serial Number CR3LY52 in custody of HSI Seattle Case No. MJ18 - 486

	r i de la companya d	APPLICATION FO	OR A SEARCH WARR	ANT	
penalty of perjur	y that I have reason	to believe that on t	ey for the government, re the following person or partial Number CR3LY52 as	roperty (identify the per	rson or describe the
* Black Dell Latiti which is attache	ude E5450 Laptop \ ed hereto and incor	vith Service Tag Se porated herein by th	rial Number CR3LY52 a: nis reference.	s further described in	Attachment A,
located in the _	Western	District of	Washington	_, there is now con-	cealed (identify the
person or describe to	he property to be seized,): 			
See Attachmen	nt B, which is attache	ed hereto and incor	porated herein by this rel	erence.	
- 1	is for the search und evidence of a crime		41(c) is (check one or more)	:	
S	contraband, fruits of	f crime, or other ite	ms illegally possessed;		
	property designed for	or use, intended for	use, or used in committing	ng a crime;	
0	a person to be arrest	ed or a person who	is unlawfully restrained.		
The sear	ch is related to a vio	olation of:			
Title 18, U.	Section S.C. § 2252 (a)(2) S.C. § 2252(a)(4)		Offense De bution of Child Pornogra hild Pornography	scription phy	
The appl	lication is based on	these facts:			
See attack	hed Affidavit				
Cont	tinued on the attach	ed sheet.			
	er 18 U.S.C. § 3103a		nding date if more than an is set forth on the attack) is requested
				Applicant's signature	
			SPECIAL AGE	NT CAO TRIET (DA	N) HUYNH, HSI
				Printed name and title	
Sworn to before	me and signed in m	y presence.	, , , , ,		
Date: Oct	23,2018		Medelle	The	
				Judge's signature	
City and state:	SEATTLE, WASHIN	IGTON	MARY ALICE T	HEILER, U.S. MAGI	STRATE JUDGE

Printed name and title

ATTACHMENT A

ITEMS TO BE SEARCHED

The following item to be searched and subsequently forensically examined is currently in the custody of HSI Seattle and was detained by United States Probation on or about October 17, 2018, from MARK EVERTT DREBLOW and is currently located in the secure office of HSI Seattle at 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 2300, Seattle, Washington 98104:

Black Dell Latitude E5450 Laptop with Service Tag Serial Number CR3LY52

ATTACHMENT B ITEMS TO BE SEIZED

3

4 5

6

7

8

10 11

12 13

1415

16 17

18 19

21

22

20

2324

2526

to:

2728

The following records, documents, files, or materials, in whatever form, including handmade or mechanical form (such as printed, written, handwritten, or typed), photocopies or other photographic form, and electrical, electronic, and magnetic form (such as CDs, DVDs, smart cards, thumb drives, camera memory cards, electronic notebooks, or any other storage medium) that constitute evidence, instrumentalities, or fruits of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2) (Receipt or Distribution of Child Pornography) and 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) (Possession of Child Pornography) which may be found on the SUBJECT DEVICE:

- 1. Any visual depiction of minor(s) engaged in sexually explicit conduct, in any format or media;
- 2. Letters, emails, text messages, and other correspondence identifying persons transmitting child pornography, or evidencing the transmission of child pornography, through interstate or foreign commerce, including by mail or by computer;
- 3. All invoices, purchase agreements, catalogs, canceled checks, money order receipts, credit card statements or other documents pertaining to the transportation or purchasing of images of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct;
- 4. Any and all address books, names, lists of names, telephone numbers, and addresses of individuals engaged in the transfer, exchange, or sale of child pornography;
- 5. Any and all address books, names, lists of names, telephone numbers, and addresses of minors;
- 6. Any and all diaries, notebooks, notes, non-pornographic pictures of children, and any other records reflecting personal contact or other activities with minors;
 - 7. Digital devices and/or their components, which include, but are not limited
- a. Any digital devices and storage device capable of being used to commit, further, or store evidence of the offense listed above;

- b. Any digital devices used to facilitate the transmission, creation, display, encoding or storage of data, including word processing equipment, modems, docking stations, monitors, cameras, printers, encryption devices, and optical scanners;
- c. Any magnetic, electronic, or optical storage device capable of storing data, such as disks, tapes, CD-ROMs, CD-Rs, CD-RWs, DVDs, printer or memory buffers, smart cards, PC cards, memory sticks, flashdrives, thumb drives, camera memory cards, media cards, electronic notebooks, and personal digital assistants;
- d. Any documentation, operating logs and reference manuals regarding the operation of the digital device or software;
- e. Any applications, utility programs, compilers, interpreters, and other software used to facilitate direct or indirect communication with the computer hardware, storage devices, or data to be searched;
- f. Any physical keys, encryption devices, dongles and similar physical items that are necessary to gain access to the computer equipment, storage devices or data; and
- g. Any passwords, password files, test keys, encryption codes or other information necessary to access the computer equipment, storage devices or data;
- 8. Evidence of who used, owned or controlled any seized digital device(s) at the time the things described in this warrant were created, edited, or deleted, such as logs, registry entries, saved user names and passwords, documents, and browsing history;
- 9. Evidence of malware that would allow others to control any seized digital device(s) such as viruses, Trojan horses, and other forms of malicious software, as well as evidence of the presence or absence of security software designed to detect malware; as well as evidence of the lack of such malware;
- 10. Evidence of the attachment to the digital device(s) of other storage devices or similar containers for electronic evidence;
- 11. Evidence of counter-forensic programs (and associated data) that are designed to eliminate data from a digital device;

1 12. Evidence of times the digital device(s) was used; 2 13. Any other electronically stored information (ESI) from the digital device(s) necessary to understand how the digital device was used, the purpose of its use, who used 3 it, and when. 4 5 13. Communications concerning or intended to facilitate sexual contact with 6 minors. 7 8 THE SEIZURE OF DIGITAL DEVICES AND/OR THEIR COMPONENTS AS SET FORTH HEREIN IS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY THIS SEARCH WARRANT, NOT ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT SUCH DIGITAL DEVICES CONSTITUTE INSTRUMENTALITIES OF THE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY 11 DESCRIBED ABOVE, BUT ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONDUCTING OFF-SITE EXAMINATIONS OF THEIR CONTENTS FOR EVIDENCE, 12 INSTRUMENTALITIES, OR FRUITS OF THE AFOREMENTIONED CRIMES. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	AFFID	AVIT
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	ss
4		-
5	COUNTY OF KING	
6		
_ 11		l

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

22

23

27

I, CAO TRIET (DAN) HUYNH, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and say:

I. INTRODUCTION

- I am a Special Agent (SA) with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), assigned to the Special Agent in Charge (SAC), Seattle, Washington. I have been an agent with HSI since April 2010. HSI is responsible for enforcing the customs and immigration laws and federal criminal statutes of the United States. As part of my duties, I investigate criminal violations relating to child exploitation and child pornography, including violations pertaining to the illegal production, distribution, receipt, and possession of child pornography and material involving the sexual exploitation of minors in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2251, 2252, and 2252A.
- 2. I am a graduate of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC), ICE Special Agent Training Program, and have received further specialized training in investigating child pornography and child exploitation crimes. I have also had the opportunity to observe and review examples of child pornography (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2256(8)). I have participated in the execution of previous search warrants, which involved child exploitation and/or child pornography offenses, and the search and seizure of computers, related peripherals, and computer media equipment. I am a member of the Seattle Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force, and work with other federal, state, and local law enforcement personnel in the investigation and prosecution of crimes involving the sexual exploitation of children. Before joining HSI, I worked for

5

13

18

28

the City of Port Townsend, Washington, Police Department as a police officer and detective for approximately nine years.

- 3. I make this Affidavit in support of an application under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for a warrant to search the following items more fully described in Attachment A for the things specified in Attachment B:
- a. Black Dell Latitude E5450 Laptop with Service Tag Serial Number CR3LY52

The item to be searched (at times referred to as the "SUBJECT DEVICE"), more fully described in Attachment A to this Affidavit, is currently located in the secure office of the HSI Seattle, 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 2300, Seattle, Washington 98104.

- 4. The facts set forth in this Affidavit are based on my own personal knowledge; knowledge obtained from other individuals during my participation in this investigation, including other law enforcement officers; review of documents and records related to this investigation; communications with others who have personal knowledge of the events and circumstances described herein; and information gained through my training and experience.
- 5. Because this Affidavit is submitted for the limited purpose of providing sufficient facts necessary to determine whether there is probable cause in support of the application for a search warrant, it does not set forth each and every fact that I or others have learned during the course of this investigation. I have set forth only the facts that I believe are relevant to the determination of probable cause to believe that evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2) (Receipt/Distribution of Child Pornography) and 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) (Possession of Child Pornography) will be found on the SUBJECT DEVICE.

II. BACKGROUND

MARK EVERETT DREBLOW

 On or about August 31, 2005, MARK EVERETT DREBLOW was sentenced in the Western District of Washington by the Honorable Benjamin H. Settle,

4 5

6 7

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

United States District Judge, to 120 months' imprisonment followed by five years of supervised release after being convicted of Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) and (b)(2).

7. After DREBLOW's release from incarceration, he was ordered to abide by all standard conditions, as well as the following special conditions while under supervision: actively participate and make reasonable progress in a mental health/sexual offender treatment program, which may include physiological testing; have no direct or indirect contact with minor children; submit to polygraph examination; abide by all lifestyle restrictions or treatment requirements imposed by the therapist; not possess any electronic device that communicates through a modem or have internet access; not possess and/or use pornographic material of any type as described by the treatment provider; submit to financial disclosure; submit to computer monitoring and notify the probation office of any computer software owned or operated by the defendant; not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without approval of the probation officer; and register as a sexual offender. His term of supervised release commenced on June 16, 2014, in the Western District of Washington.

BRIAN KEVIN RUBENAKER

- 8. On or about June 5, 2006, BRIAN KEVIN RUBENAKER was sentenced in the Western District of Washington by the Honorable James L. Robart, United States District Judge, to 151 months imprisonment followed by three years supervised release after being convicted of Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B), (b)(2) and 2256.
- 9. After RUBENAKER's release from incarceration, he was ordered to abide by all standard conditions, as well as the following special conditions of supervision: Abstain from alcohol use; submit to search; not possess sexually explicit images; participate in polygraph examinations; participate in a sexual deviancy evaluation; participate in sexual deviancy treatment; follow lifestyle restrictions; not frequent places where minors are known to congregate; have no contact with minors; preapproval of

11 12

13

15 16

> 17 18

19²

2122

24

25

26

2728

residence; disclose all computer software purchases; participate in computer monitoring; financial disclosure. RUBENAKER's term of supervised release commenced on April 20, 2016, in the Western District of Washington.

- RUBENAKER also has 1998 Washington State convictions for Child
 Molestation in the First Degree and Rape of a Child in the Third Degree.
- 11. According to United States Probation Officer Lisa Combs, DREBLOW and RUBENAKER met during sex offender treatment and became close friends.

III. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION

- Angela McGlynn conducted a routine unannounced home visit at DREBLOW's residence located at 13005 East Gibson Road, Apartment #T236, Everett, Washington 98204. During the visit, Officer Combs saw what appeared to be electrical cords under a couch cushion, which was askew and partially propped up. Officer Combs asked DREBLOW if they were cords, and he moved quickly to the couch to try to hide them. She also asked if there was a computer there, and DREBLOW removed a black Dell Latitude E5450 Laptop (the SUBJECT DEVICE). DREBLOW stated the SUBJECT DEVICE belonged to his friend, RUBENAKER, and that they shared the SUBJECT DEVICE.
- 13. DREBLOW appeared extremely uneasy and concerned. He admitted to being nervous and scared. DREBLOW stated that he had the SUBJECT DEVICE for approximately ten days and that it was not password protected. Officers Combs and McGlynn advised that while they did not know what was on the SUBJECT DEVICE, there were several options to address its possession, including a referral back to sex offender treatment. DREBLOW stated that there were pictures of nude children on the SUBJECT DEVICE. Officers Combs and McGlynn inquired about his well-being and instructed him to report to their office the following morning, October 18, 2018. They also seized the SUBJECT DEVICE and secured it at their office in Everett, Washington.

AFFIDAVIT OF SPECIAL AGENT DAN HUYNH - 5 USAO #2018R01231

- 14. In light of these events, Officer Combs contacted RUBENAKER and directed him to report to her office on October 18, 2018,
- 15. DREBLOW reported as directed and was taken into custody for an alleged violation of his conditions of supervision: namely, possessing an Internet-capable device, a laptop computer, without authorization.
- 16. RUBENAKER also reported as directed and met with Officers Combs and McGlynn. He was aware of the SUBJECT DEVICE being seized through his contact with DREBLOW. RUBENAKER was questioned about his emotional well-being. He made statements of regret and remorse and said that he wished he had made a better decision ten days ago. RUBENAKER stated he purchased the SUBJECT DEVICE approximately eight to ten days ago. When asked who used the SUBJECT DEVICE RUBENAKER stated that he and DREBLOW shared it.
- 17. That same afternoon, RUBENAKER was arrested at his home in Everett for an alleged violations of his conditions of supervision—namely, failing to follow all lifestyle restrictions and treatment requirements imposed by the defendant's therapist and failing to notify the probation officer of all computer software owned and operated.
- 18. On or about October 19, 2018, I arrived at the United States Probation Office in Everett, Washington, and met with Officer Combs. I confirmed and obtained additional details of Officers Combs's and McGlynn's involvement in the case and took custody of the SUBJECT DEVICE.
- 19. The SUBJECT DEVICE was not manufactured in the state of Washington. On the back of the SUBJECT DEVICE, there is a Dell label that has "Made in China" printed on it.

IV. DEFINITIONS AND TECHNICAL TERMS

- 20. Set forth below are some definitions of technical terms, most of which are used throughout this Affidavit pertaining to the Internet and computers generally:
- a. <u>Computers and digital devices</u>: As used in this Affidavit, the terms "computer" and "digital device," along with the terms "electronic storage media,"

"digital storage media," and "data storage device," refer to those items capable of storing, creating, transmitting, displaying, or encoding electronic or digital data, including computers, hard drives, thumb drives, flash drives, memory cards, media cards, smart cards, PC cards, digital cameras and digital camera memory cards, electronic notebooks and tablets, smart phones and personal digital assistants, printers, scanners, and other similar items.

- b. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) and the storage of ISP records:

 Internet Service Providers are commercial organizations that are in business to provide individuals and businesses access to the Internet. ISPs provide a range of functions for their customers including access to the Internet, web hosting, e mail, remote storage, and co-location of computers and other communications equipment. ISPs maintain records ("ISP records") pertaining to their subscribers (regardless of whether those subscribers are individuals or entities). These records may include account application information, subscriber and billing information, account access information (often times in the form of log files), e mail communications, information concerning content uploaded and/or stored on or via the ISP's servers, and other information, which may be stored both in computer data format and in written or printed record format. ISPs reserve and/or maintain computer disk storage space on their computer system for their subscribers' use.
- c. <u>Internet Protocol (IP) Address</u>: Typically, computers or devices on the Internet are referenced by a unique Internet Protocol address the same way every telephone has a unique telephone number. An IP address consists of four numeric sequences, separated by a period, and each numeric sequence is a whole number between 0 and 254. An example of an IP address is 192.168.10.102. Each time an individual accesses the Internet, the computer from which that individual initiates access is assigned an IP address. A central authority provides each ISP a limited block of IP addresses for use by that ISP's customers or subscribers. Most ISP's employ dynamic IP addressing, that is, they allocate any unused IP address at the time of initiation of an Internet session each time a customer or subscriber accesses the Internet. A dynamic IP address is

reserved by an ISP to be shared among a group of computers over a period of time. The ISP logs the date, time, and duration of the Internet session for each IP address and can 3 identify the user of that IP address for such a session from these records. Typically, users 4 who sporadically access the Internet via a dial up modem will be assigned an IP address 5 from a pool of IP addresses for the duration of each dial up session. Once the session ends, the IP address is available for the next dial up customer. On the other hand, some ISPs, including some cable providers, employ static IP addressing, that is, a customer or 7 8 subscriber's computer is assigned one IP address that is used to identify each and every Internet session initiated through that computer. In other words, a static IP address is an IP address that does not change over a period of time and is typically assigned to a specific computer.

Hash Value: "Hashing" refers to the process of using a mathematical function, often called an algorithm, to generate a numerical identifier for data. This numerical identifier is called a "hash value" and can be thought of as a "digital fingerprint" for data. If the data that has been "hashed" is changed, even very slightly (like through the addition or deletion of a comma or a period in a text file), the hash value for that data would change. Therefore, if a file such as a digital photo is a hash value match to a known file, it means that the digital photo is an exact copy of the known file.

V. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

21. As part of my training, I have become familiar with the Internet, a global network of computers and other electronic devices that communicate with each other using various means, including standard telephone lines, high speed telecommunications links (e.g., copper and fiber optic cable), and wireless transmissions, including satellite. Due to the structure of the Internet, connections between computers on the Internet routinely cross state and international borders, even when the computers communicating with each other are in the same state. Individuals and entities use the Internet to gain access to a wide variety of information; to send information to, and receive information

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

AFFIDAVIT OF SPECIAL AGENT DAN HUYNH - 8 USAO #2018R01231

from, other individuals; to conduct commercial transactions; and to communicate via email.

- 22. I know, based on my training and experience, that cellular phones (referred to generally as "smart phones") have the capability to access the Internet and store information, such as videos and images. As a result, an individual using a smart phone can send, receive, and store files, including child pornography, without accessing a personal computer or laptop. An individual using a smart phone can also easily plug the device into a computer, via a USB cable, and transfer data files from one digital device to another. Many people generally carry their smart phone on their person; recent investigations in this District have resulted in the discovery of child pornography files on smart phones which were carried on an individual's person at the time the phones were seized.
- 23. As set forth above and in Attachment B to this Affidavit, I seek permission to search for and seize evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of the above-referenced crimes that might be on the SUBJECT DEVICE, in whatever form they are found. It has been my experience that individuals involved in child pornography often prefer to store images of child pornography in electronic form. The ability to store images of child pornography in electronic form makes digital devices, examples of which are enumerated in Attachment B to this Affidavit, an ideal repository for child pornography because the images can be easily sent or received over the Internet. As a result, one form in which these items may be found is as electronic evidence stored on a digital device.
- a. Based upon my knowledge, training, and experience in child exploitation and child pornography investigations, and the experience and training of other law enforcement officers with whom I have had discussions, I know that computers and computer technology have revolutionized the way in which child pornography is collected, distributed, and produced. Prior to the advent of computers and the Internet, child pornography was produced using cameras and film, resulting in either still photographs or movies. The photographs required darkroom facilities and a significant

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- b. In addition, based upon my own knowledge, training, and experience in child exploitation and child pornography investigations, and the experience and training of other law enforcement officers with whom I have had discussions, I know that the development of computers has also revolutionized the way in which those who seek out child pornography are able to obtain this material. Computers serve four basic functions in connection with child pornography: production, communication, distribution, and storage. More specifically, the development of computers has changed the methods used by those who seek to obtain access to child pornography as described in subparagraphs (c) through (f) below.
- c. Producers of child pornography can now produce both still and moving images directly from the average video or digital camera. These still and/or moving images are then uploaded from the camera to the computer, either by attaching the camera to the computer through a USB cable or similar device, or by ejecting the camera memory card from the camera and inserting it into a card reader. Once uploaded to the computer, the images can then be stored, manipulated, transferred, or printed directly from the computer. Images can be edited in ways similar to those by which a photograph may be altered. Images can be lightened, darkened, cropped, or otherwise manipulated. Producers of child pornography can also use a scanner to transfer printed photographs into a computer-readable format. As a result of this technology, it is

 relatively inexpensive and technically easy to produce, store, and distribute child pornography. In addition, there is an added benefit to the pornographer in that this method of production does not leave as large a trail for law enforcement to follow.

- d. The Internet allows any computer to connect to another computer. By connecting to a host computer, electronic contact can be made to literally millions of computers around the world. A host computer is one that is attached to a network and serves many users. Host computers, including ISPs, allow email service between subscribers and sometimes between their own subscribers and those of other networks. In addition, these service providers act as a gateway for their subscribers to the Internet. Having said that, however, this application does not seek to reach any host computers. This application seeks permission only to search the SUBJECT DEVICE.
- e. The Internet allows users, while still maintaining anonymity, to easily locate (i) other individuals with similar interests in child pornography, and (ii) websites that offer images of child pornography. Those who seek to obtain images or videos of child pornography can use standard Internet connections, such as those provided by businesses, universities, and government agencies, to communicate with each other and to distribute child pornography. These communication links allow contacts around the world as easily as calling next door. Additionally, these communications can be quick, relatively secure, and as anonymous as desired. All of these advantages, which promote anonymity for both the distributor and recipient, are well known and are the foundation of transactions involving those who wish to gain access to child pornography over the Internet. Sometimes the only way to identify both parties and verify the transportation of child pornography over the Internet is to examine the distributor's/recipient's computer, including the Internet history and cache to look for "footprints" of the websites and images accessed by the distributor/recipient.
- f. The computer's capability to store images in digital form makes it an ideal repository for child pornography. The size of the electronic storage media (commonly referred to as a "hard drive") used in home computers has grown

tremendously within the last several years. Hard drives with the capacity of 2 terabytes are not uncommon. These drives can store thousands of images at very high resolution. Magnetic storage located in host computers adds another dimension to the equation. It is possible to use a video camera to capture an image, process that image in a computer with a video capture board, and save that image to storage elsewhere. Once this is done, there is no readily apparent evidence at the "scene of the crime." Only with careful laboratory examination of electronic storage devices is it possible to recreate the evidence trail.

- 24. Based upon my knowledge, experience, and training in child pornography investigations, and the training and experience of other law enforcement officers with whom I have had discussions, I know that there are certain characteristics common to individuals who have a sexualized interest in children and depictions of children:
- a. They may receive sexual gratification, stimulation, and satisfaction from contact with children; or from fantasies they may have viewing children engaged in sexual activity or in sexually suggestive poses, such as in person, in photographs, or other visual media; or from literature describing such activity.
- b. They may collect sexually explicit or suggestive materials in a variety of media, including photographs, magazines, motion pictures, videotapes, books, slides, and/or drawings or other visual media. Such individuals often times use these materials for their own sexual arousal and gratification. Further, they may use these materials to lower the inhibitions of children they are attempting to seduce, to arouse the selected child partner, or to demonstrate the desired sexual acts. These individuals may keep records, to include names, contact information, and/or dates of these interactions, of the children they have attempted to seduce, arouse, or with whom they have engaged in the desired sexual acts.
- c. They often maintain any "hard copies" of child pornographic material that is, their pictures, films, video tapes, magazines, negatives, photographs, correspondence, mailing lists, books, tape recordings, etc., in the privacy and security of

their home or some other secure location. These individuals typically retain these "hard copies" of child pornographic material for many years, as they are highly valued.

- d. Likewise, they often maintain their child pornography collections that are in a digital or electronic format in a safe, secure and private environment, such as a computer and surrounding area. These collections are often maintained for several years and are kept close by, often at the individual's residence or some otherwise easily accessible location, to enable the owner to view the collection, which is valued highly. They also may opt to store the contraband in cloud accounts. Cloud storage is a model of data storage where the digital data is stored in logical pools, the physical storage can span multiple servers, and often locations, and the physical environment is typically owned and managed by a hosting company. Cloud storage allows the offender ready access to the material from any device that has an Internet connection, worldwide, while also attempting to obfuscate or limit the criminality of possession as the material is stored remotely and not on the offender's device.
- e. They also may correspond with and/or meet others to share information and materials; rarely destroy correspondence from other child pornography distributors/collectors; conceal such correspondence as they do their sexually explicit material; and often maintain lists of names, addresses, and telephone numbers of individuals with whom they have been in contact and who share the same interests in child pornography.
- f. They generally prefer not to be without their child pornography for any prolonged time period. This behavior has been documented by law enforcement officers involved in the investigation of child pornography throughout the world.
- 25. In addition to offenders who collect and store child pornography, law enforcement has encountered offenders who obtain child pornography from the internet, view the contents and subsequently delete the contraband, often after engaging in self-gratification. In light of technological advancements, increasing Internet speeds and worldwide availability of child sexual exploitative material, this phenomenon offers the

offender a sense of decreasing risk of being identified and/or apprehended with quantities of contraband. This type of consumer is commonly referred to as a 'seek and delete' offender, knowing that the same or different contraband satisfying their interests remain easily discoverable and accessible online for future viewing and self-gratification. I know that, regardless of whether a person discards or collects child pornography he/she accesses for purposes of viewing and sexual gratification, evidence of such activity is likely to be found on computers and related digital devices, including storage media, used by the person. This evidence may include the files themselves, logs of account access events, contact lists of others engaged in trafficking of child pornography, backup files, and other electronic artifacts that may be forensically recoverable.

- 26. Given the above-stated facts, including DREBLOW and RUBENAKER's criminal histories and the findings of United States Probation, and based on my knowledge, training and experience, along with my discussions with other law enforcement officers who investigate child exploitation crimes, I believe that DREBLOW and RUBENAKER likely have a sexualized interest in children and depictions of children and that evidence of child pornography is likely to be found on the SUBJECT DEVICE.
- 27. Based on my training and experience, and that of computer forensic agents that I work and collaborate with on a daily basis, I know that every type and kind of information, data, record, sound or image can exist and be present as electronically stored information on any of a variety of computers, computer systems, digital devices, and other electronic storage media. I also know that electronic evidence can be moved easily from one digital device to another. As a result, I believe that electronic evidence may be stored on the SUBJECT DEVICE.
- 28. Based on my training and experience, and my consultation with computer forensic agents who are familiar with searches of computers, I know that in some cases the items set forth in Attachment B may take the form of files, documents, and other data that is user-generated and found on a digital device. In other cases, these items may take

the form of other types of data – including in some cases data generated automatically by the devices themselves.

- 29. Based on my training and experience, and my consultation with computer forensic agents who are familiar with searches of computers, I believe that regarding any digital devices recovered from DREBLOW and RUBENAKER there is probable cause to believe that the items set forth in Attachment B will be stored in the SUBJECT DEVICE for a number of reasons, including but not limited to the following:
- a. Once created, electronically stored information (ESI) can be stored for years in very little space and at little or no cost. A great deal of ESI is created, and stored, moreover, even without a conscious act on the part of the device operator. For example, files that have been viewed via the Internet are sometimes automatically downloaded into a temporary Internet directory or "cache," without the knowledge of the device user. The browser often maintains a fixed amount of hard drive space devoted to these files, and the files are only overwritten as they are replaced with more recently viewed Internet pages or if a user takes affirmative steps to delete them. This ESI may include relevant and significant evidence regarding criminal activities, but also, and just as importantly, may include evidence of the identity of the device user, and when and how the device was used. Most often, some affirmative action is necessary to delete ESI. And even when such action has been deliberately taken, ESI can often be recovered, months or even years later, using forensic tools.
- b. Wholly apart from data created directly (or indirectly) by usergenerated files, digital devices in particular, a computer's internal hard drive contain
 electronic evidence of how a digital device has been used, what is has been used for, and
 who has used it. This evidence can take the form of operating system configurations,
 artifacts from operating systems or application operations, file system data structures, and
 virtual memory "swap" or paging files. Computer users typically do not erase or delete
 this evidence, because special software is typically required for that task. However, it is
 technically possible for a user to use such specialized software to delete this type of

information – and, the use of such special software may itself result in ESI that is relevant 2 to the criminal investigation. HSI agents in this case have consulted on computer 3 forensic matters with law enforcement officers with specialized knowledge and training in computers, networks, and Internet communications. In particular, to properly retrieve and analyze electronically stored (computer) data, and to ensure accuracy and 6 completeness of such data and to prevent loss of the data either from accidental or 7 programmed destruction, it is necessary to conduct a forensic examination of the 8 computers. To effect such accuracy and completeness, it may also be necessary to 9 analyze not only data storage devices, but also peripheral devices which may be 10 interdependent, the software to operate them, and related instruction manuals containing 11 directions concerning operation of the computer and software.

VI. SEARCH AND/OR SEIZURE OF DIGITAL DEVICES

- 30. In addition, based on my training and experience and that of computer forensic agents that I work and collaborate with on a daily basis, I know that in most cases it is impossible to successfully conduct a complete, accurate, and reliable search for electronic evidence stored on a digital device during the physical search of a search site for a number of reasons, including but not limited to the following:
- a. <u>Technical Requirements</u>: Searching digital devices for criminal evidence is a highly technical process requiring specific expertise and a properly controlled environment. The vast array of digital hardware and software available requires even digital experts to specialize in particular systems and applications, so it is difficult to know before a search which expert is qualified to analyze the particular system(s) and electronic evidence found at a search site. As a result, it is not always possible to bring to the search site all of the necessary personnel, technical manuals, and specialized equipment to conduct a thorough search of every possible digital device/system present. In addition, electronic evidence search protocols are exacting scientific procedures designed to protect the integrity of the evidence and to recover even hidden, erased, compressed, password-protected, or encrypted files. Since ESI is

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFFIDAVIT OF SPECIAL AGENT DAN HUYNH - 16 USAO #2018R01231

extremely vulnerable to inadvertent or intentional modification or destruction (both from external sources or from destructive code embedded in the system such as a "booby trap"), a controlled environment is often essential to ensure its complete and accurate analysis.

- b. <u>Volume of Evidence</u>: The volume of data stored on many digital devices is typically so large that it is impossible to search for criminal evidence in a reasonable period of time during the execution of the physical search of a search site. A single megabyte of storage space is the equivalent of 500 double-spaced pages of text. A single gigabyte of storage space, or 1,000 megabytes, is the equivalent of 500,000 double-spaced pages of text. Computer hard drives are now being sold for personal computers capable of storing up to two terabytes (2,000 gigabytes of data.) Additionally, this data may be stored in a variety of formats or may be encrypted (several new commercially available operating systems provide for automatic encryption of data upon shutdown of the computer).
- Attachment B may require a range of data analysis techniques. In some cases, it is possible for agents and analysts to conduct carefully targeted searches that can locate evidence without requiring a time-consuming manual search through unrelated materials that may be commingled with criminal evidence. In other cases, however, such techniques may not yield the evidence described in the warrant, and law enforcement personnel with appropriate expertise may need to conduct more extensive searches, such as scanning areas of the disk not allocated to listed files, or peruse every file briefly to determine whether it falls within the scope of the warrant.
- 31. In this particular case, the government anticipates the use of a hash value library to exclude normal operating system files that do not need to be searched, which will facilitate the search for evidence that does come within the items described in Attachment B. Further, the government anticipates the use of hash values and known file filters to assist the digital forensics examiners/agents in identifying known and or

suspected child pornography image files. Use of these tools will allow for the quick identification of evidentiary files but also assist in the filtering of normal system files that would have no bearing on the case.

- 32. In accordance with the information in this Affidavit, law enforcement personnel will execute the search of digital devices seized pursuant to this warrant as follows:
- a. In order to examine the ESI in a forensically sound manner, law enforcement personnel with appropriate expertise will produce a complete forensic image, if possible and appropriate, of any digital device that is found to contain data or items that fall within the scope of Attachment B of this Affidavit. In addition, appropriately trained personnel may search for and attempt to recover deleted, hidden, or encrypted data to determine whether the data fall within the list of items to be seized pursuant to the warrant. In order to search fully for the items identified in the warrant, law enforcement personnel, which may include investigative agents, may then examine all of the data contained in the forensic image/s and/or on the digital devices to view their precise contents and determine whether the data fall within the list of items to be seized pursuant to the warrant.
- b. The search techniques that will be used will be only those methodologies, techniques and protocols as may reasonably be expected to find, identify, segregate and/or duplicate the items authorized to be seized pursuant to Attachment B to this Affidavit.
- c. If, after conducting its examination, law enforcement personnel determine that any digital device is an instrumentality of the criminal offenses referenced above, the government may retain that device during the pendency of the case as necessary to, among other things, preserve the instrumentality evidence for trial, ensure the chain of custody, and litigate the issue of forfeiture.
- 33. In order to search for ESI that falls within the list of items to be seized pursuant to Attachment B to this Affidavit, law enforcement personnel will seize and

instrumentalities of criminal activity.

1 VIII. CONCLUSION 2 Based on the foregoing, I believe there is probable cause that evidence, 35. fruits, and instrumentalities of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2) (Receipt or 3 Distribution of Child Pornography) and 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) (Possession of Child 4 5 Pornography) are located on the SUBJECT DEVICE, as more fully described in Attachment A to this Affidavit, as well as on and in any digital devices found therein. I 7 therefore request that the court issue a warrant authorizing a search of the SUBJECT 8 DEVICE, for the items more fully described in Attachment B hereto, incorporated herein 9 by reference, and the seizure of any such items found therein. 10 11 12 CAO TRIET (DAN) HUYNH, 13 Affiant, Special Agent 14 Department of Homeland Security Homeland Security Investigations 15 16 17 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 23 day of October, 2018. 18 19 20 21 MARY ALICE THEILER United States Magistrate Judge 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ATTACHMENT A
ITEMS TO BE SEARCHED

The following item to be searched and subsequently forensically examined is currently in the custody of HSI Seattle and was detained by United States Probation on or about October 17, 2018, from MARK EVERTT DREBLOW and is currently located in the secure office of HSI Seattle at 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 2300, Seattle, Washington 98104:

Black Dell Latitude E5450 Laptop with Service Tag Serial Number CR3LY52

ATTACHMENT B

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27

ITEMS TO BE SEIZED

The following records, documents, files, or materials, in whatever form, including handmade or mechanical form (such as printed, written, handwritten, or typed), photocopies or other photographic form, and electrical, electronic, and magnetic form (such as CDs, DVDs, smart cards, thumb drives, camera memory cards, electronic notebooks, or any other storage medium) that constitute evidence, instrumentalities, or fruits of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2) (Receipt or Distribution of Child Pornography) and 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) (Possession of Child Pornography) which may be found on the SUBJECT DEVICE:

- Any visual depiction of minor(s) engaged in sexually explicit conduct, in any format or media;
- 2. Letters, emails, text messages, and other correspondence identifying persons transmitting child pornography, or evidencing the transmission of child pornography, through interstate or foreign commerce, including by mail or by computer;
- 3. All invoices, purchase agreements, catalogs, canceled checks, money order receipts, credit card statements or other documents pertaining to the transportation or purchasing of images of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct;
- 4. Any and all address books, names, lists of names, telephone numbers, and addresses of individuals engaged in the transfer, exchange, or sale of child pornography;
- 5. Any and all address books, names, lists of names, telephone numbers, and addresses of minors;
- 6. Any and all diaries, notebooks, notes, non-pornographic pictures of children, and any other records reflecting personal contact or other activities with minors;
- 7. Digital devices and/or their components, which include, but are not limited to:
- Any digital devices and storage device capable of being used to a. commit, further, or store evidence of the offense listed above;

- b. Any digital devices used to facilitate the transmission, creation, display, encoding or storage of data, including word processing equipment, modems, docking stations, monitors, cameras, printers, encryption devices, and optical scanners;
- c. Any magnetic, electronic, or optical storage device capable of storing data, such as disks, tapes, CD-ROMs, CD-Rs, CD-RWs, DVDs, printer or memory buffers, smart cards, PC cards, memory sticks, flashdrives, thumb drives, camera memory cards, media cards, electronic notebooks, and personal digital assistants;
- d. Any documentation, operating logs and reference manuals regarding the operation of the digital device or software;
- e. Any applications, utility programs, compilers, interpreters, and other software used to facilitate direct or indirect communication with the computer hardware, storage devices, or data to be searched;
- f. Any physical keys, encryption devices, dongles and similar physical items that are necessary to gain access to the computer equipment, storage devices or data; and
- g. Any passwords, password files, test keys, encryption codes or other information necessary to access the computer equipment, storage devices or data;
- 8. Evidence of who used, owned or controlled any seized digital device(s) at the time the things described in this warrant were created, edited, or deleted, such as logs, registry entries, saved user names and passwords, documents, and browsing history;
- 9. Evidence of malware that would allow others to control any seized digital device(s) such as viruses, Trojan horses, and other forms of malicious software, as well as evidence of the presence or absence of security software designed to detect malware; as well as evidence of the lack of such malware;
- 10. Evidence of the attachment to the digital device(s) of other storage devices or similar containers for electronic evidence;
- 11. Evidence of counter-forensic programs (and associated data) that are designed to eliminate data from a digital device;

1 12. Evidence of times the digital device(s) was used; 2 13. Any other electronically stored information (ESI) from the digital device(s) necessary to understand how the digital device was used, the purpose of its use, who used 3 it, and when. 4 5 13. Communications concerning or intended to facilitate sexual contact with 6 minors. 7 8 THE SEIZURE OF DIGITAL DEVICES AND/OR THEIR COMPONENTS AS SET FORTH HEREIN IS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY THIS SEARCH WARRANT, NOT ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT SUCH DIGITAL DEVICES CONSTITUTE INSTRUMENTALITIES OF THE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY 11 DESCRIBED ABOVE, BUT ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONDUCTING OFF-SITE EXAMINATIONS OF THEIR CONTENTS FOR EVIDENCE, 12 INSTRUMENTALITIES, OR FRUITS OF THE AFOREMENTIONED CRIMES. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27